

ECON 409

October 10, 2012

Neoclassical Political Economy and Marxian
Political Economy

IMPORTANT

- Do not forget to send me (deger.eryar@ieu.edu.tr) the name list of your group (2 or 3 people) for the term project by OCTOBER 24. After the deadline, points will be deducted!

- Distribution of income:
 - Each factor of production deserves what it contributes to the production
 - Wage, rent, profit etc.
 - Different from classical liberal political economy
 - What about initial distribution of resources?
- What about social welfare?
 - We cannot impose any preference on the group as a whole
 - If exchange makes some individuals better-off without making someone else worse-off, then this exchange will improve social welfare (Pareto)
 - But initial distribution of resources is a given

Neoclassical Political Economy

- Why is the market outcome the best outcome for all of us?
- For consumers, there is a large number of bundles of consumer goods from which to choose
- For producers, there is a possibility of combining resources in different ways
- This process of substitution will go on until all resources yielded maximum profit for producers and maximum utility for consumers
- $MC=P=MB$

Neoclassical Political Economy

- What is the role of the state?
 - Property rights (of ownership, use, sale etc.)
 - Important for smooth functioning of market exchange
 - Creation of incentives (what can I do with my capital or with my labor?)
 - The political process establishes property rights and set their limits
- Market Failures (markets are not always optimal)
 - Externalities
 - If my objective is affected by another transaction which I am not part of
 - Negative or positive externalities
 - Inefficient production

Neoclassical Political Economy

- **Public goods**
 - Non-excludability and non-rivalry
 - Free rider problem
 - Underproduction
- **Imperfect competition**
 - Monopoly or oligopoly
 - Higher prices and lower output
- **Asymmetric information**
 - Two parties to the exchange have asymmetrical access to the information
 - Health insurance, loan contracts
 - Not market clearing price (e.g., higher premiums)

Neoclassical Political Economy

- Can Government correct market failures?
 - Is the efficiency only criterion to evaluate economic processes or government intervention?
 - What about government failures?
- What about the role of power in neoclassical PE?
 - Only market power is acknowledged (perfect competition against monopoly)
 - What about power in labor-capital relationship?
 - Fallback position of the worker
 - Mobility of resources

Marxian Political Economy

- Karl Marx (19th Century)
- What is the economy according to classical (and later to neoclassical) economists?
 - Accumulation of commodities
 - Owned by individuals
 - Exchange relations among individuals
 - What's missing in this picture?
 - Social relations of production
- $C-M-C$ simple circulation
 - C stands for commodity
 - M stands for money
- $M-C-M'$ circuit of capital
 - M' greater than M
 - $(M'-M) =$ surplus value
 - Surplus value is the source of profit

- Labor is the source of value
 - The exchange value of a commodity is determined by the socially necessary abstract labor time it embodies.
 - Average amount of time
 - Average degree of skill and intensity
 - Most advanced technology
- Like any other product, tools are produced by work.
 - “Fixed capital and intermediate good”: Something that is produced to then produce something else (rather than consumed).
- Think of working with tools as a two-stage, “indirect” way of working:
 1. First we work to produce the tool.
 2. Then we use the tool to perform our end task.
- But remember: Humans did all the work.
 - The tools themselves are not productive. Therefore they cannot be the source of profit.
- And merely owning a tool is not a productive act.

- Distinction between labor and labor power
 - Labor power is a commodity
 - C-M-C (workers sell their labor power)
- What is labor power?
- A misleading ideology suggests that you're not a "worker" unless you work in manual labor (factory, blue-collar, etc.).
- This is objectively false.
- Workers with higher education, who work in offices, who earn salaries, still fit the definition of a worker:
 - Performing work for someone else, under their direction, in return for payment.
- They are still fully dependent on their employer, and still face an inherent tussle with them over the terms & conditions of work.

- Value of a commodity consists of:
 - Constant capital (c): value of machinery, raw materials etc.
 - Variable capital (v): value of labor power
 - Surplus value (s): value created by labor power beyond the value of labor power

Value of a commodity = $C + v + s$

– 12 hrs = 4hrs 4hrs 4 hrs

- Worker creates value worth 8 hours but is paid wage worth only 4 hours
- $M-C-M'$ where C is the labor power
- Surplus value is created by the worker but obtained by the capitalist (exploitation)
- Value created by labor power is *different* from the value of labor power

- How to increase surplus value ?
 - Absolute surplus
 - Extend the working time
 - Relative surplus (increase productivity)
 - Lower the value of labor power (v) by increasing the productivity in the wage-goods sector
 - machinery increases the productivity of labour. Thus technological change, more advanced machinery, will reduce the amount of time -- *the amount of socially necessary labour* -- that the worker takes to reproduce his/her own exchange-value, the cost of his/her wages to the capitalist.
 - This strategy will be successful only if all the firms in wage-goods industry improve their productivity
 - Example: If workers consume mostly electronics, and if it is possible to produce new electronics at lower cost (due to higher productivity), then S/V will increase.

- Or apply a better method to produce the same good at a lower value (increase firm's productivity)

- Produce at lower individual value but sell it at social value or somewhere between individual and social value

- For example:

- Total daily value= 4 hrs (c) + 4 hrs (v)+ 4 hrs (s)

- If the daily output is 4 units then the social value of one unit is 3 hrs = 1 hr (c)+1 hr(v)+1hr(s)

- Suppose that the individual producer tries to raise the labor productivity by 100% (i.e. 8 units per day instead of 4 units) and buys more C.
- Now the individual value of one unit becomes $2\text{hrs} = 1\text{hr}(c) + 1/2\text{ hr}(v) + 1/2\text{hr}(s)$. In other words, half of the previous labor value is added to each unit of good.
- In the markets, the same product is sold at 3 hrs (its social value), and as long as the individual producer sells it below 3 but above 2, s/he will gain more surplus value
- If all the goods are sold at 2.5 hrs, then total daily value will be $(8 * 2.5) = 20\text{ hrs} = 8\text{hrs}(c) + 4\text{hrs}(v) + 8\text{hrs}(s)$. Now s is 8 hrs rather than 4 hrs!

- Profit rate = $S / (C + V)$ or dividing everything by V we get
 - $(S/V) / [(C/V) + 1]$
- Thus as capital accumulated from the creation of surplus value in production, capitalists in competition with each other would normally reinvest more of that surplus-value into constant capital as machinery than into variable capital (i.e. hiring more labour).
- In other words (C/V) can increase faster than (S/V) , and there will be a tendency for profit to fall
- Given the falling rate of profit, there will be less investment, less employment, and crisis will emerge.
- Profits have a tendency to fall

- How to avoid it?
- A fall in c/v or a rise in s/v
 - Globalization
 - Access to other markets for more surplus (free trade)
 - Access to cheap c or v (FDI, globalization of production and free trade)
 - State
 - Generates additional demand (budget deficit) for more surplus
 - Access to cheap c or v (privatization)
 - Finance (additional funds for more investment and consumption leading to higher surplus)
 - Crises (access to cheap c or v)